

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8

9 State of Arizona, ex rel. Kristin K.)
10 Mayes, Attorney General; et al.,)
11 v. Plaintiffs,) No. CIV 23-233-TUC-CKJ
12 Michael D. Lansky, L.L.C., dba Avid)
13 Telecom; et al.,)
14 Defendants.)
15 _____

ORDER

15 The Court directed her law clerk to informally advise counsel to confer and consult
16 regarding discovery disputes (and the potential need to file motions to compel) raised by
17 Plaintiffs. *See* LRCiv 7.2(j). The law clerk asked to be notified if counsel did not confer
18 and consult. The Court has been advised the parties have not conferred and consulted
19 regarding the discovery dispute raised by Plaintiffs.

20 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

21 1. The parties/counsel must consult and make sincere efforts to resolve the
22 dispute on or before July 11, 2025. This requires, at a minimum if counsel's schedules do
23 not permit consultation during that time period, of counsel agreeing to the date of the initial
24 consultation by the end of the week July 11, 2025.

25 2. Counsel shall notify the Court if such consultation/scheduling has not occurred
26 on or before July 11, 2025.

27

28

1 3. The failure to participate in consulting and making sincere efforts to resolve
2 the discovery disputes may result in the imposition of sanctions.

3 DATED this 1st day of July, 2025.
4
5 Cindy K. Jorgenson
6 Cindy K. Jorgenson
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28